Prepared for submission to JCAP

Muon bundles as a sign of strangelets from the Universe

arXiv:1612.04749v1 [hep-ph] 14 Dec 2016

P. Kankiewicz,[a] **M. Rybczyński,**[a,1] **Z. Włodarczyk,**[a] **and G. Wilk**[b]

[a]Institute of Physics, Jan Kochanowski University,
25-406 Kielce, Poland

[b]National Centre for Nuclear Research, Department of Fundamental Research,
00-681 Warsaw, Poland

E-mail: pawel.kankiewicz@ujk.edu.pl, maciej.rybczynski@ujk.edu.pl,
zbigniew.wlodarczyk@ujk.edu.pl, grzegorz.wilk@ncbj.gov.pl

Abstract. Recently the CERN ALICE experiment, in its dedicated cosmic ray run, observed muon bundles of very high multiplicities, thereby confirming similar findings from the LEP era at CERN (in the CosmoLEP project). So far their presence is still not fully understood. We propose as a possible explanation of this phenomenon that they could be produced by strangelets, hypothetical stable lumps of strange quark matter infiltrating our Universe. We also address the possibility of additionally deducing their directionality which could be of astrophysical interest. Significant evidence for anisotropy of arrival directions of these strangelets is found. Estimated directionality suggests their possible extragalactic provenance.

[1]Corresponding author.

Contents

1 Introduction 1

2 Searches for Strange Quark Matter in cosmic rays 2

3 High multiplicity muon bundles from SQM 5

4 Anisotropy of arrival directions of strangelets 6

5 Summary and conclusions 9

1 Introduction

Cosmic ray physics is our unique source of information on events in the energy range which will never be accessible in Earth-bound experiments [1, 2]. This is why one of the most important aspects of their investigation is the understanding of the primary cosmic ray (CR) flux and its composition. In this respect the recent measurement performed by the ALICE experiment at CERN LHC in its dedicated cosmic ray run [3, 4] is of great importance. A number of events with muon bundles of high multiplicity was registered in the so called Extensive Air Showers (EAS) produced by cosmic ray interactions in the upper atmosphere [3]. A special emphasis has been given to the study of high multiplicity events containing more than 100 reconstructed muons. Similar events have already been studied in the previous LEP experiments at CERN (in the so called Cosmo-LEP program) such as ALEPH [5], DELPHI [6] and L3 [7], and in the underground Baksan experiment [8] (we do not mention here all experiments with smaller bundles of muons observed). Data obtained in all these studies are crucial in establishing the most probable composition of CR. The recent ALICE experiment was special in this respect because it also provides, for the first time, data which can be used to estimate the direction of arrival of the observed CR producing observed muonic bundles [3].

The common feature shared by data from all these experiments is that the measured muon multiplicity distribution can be reproduced only for low and intermediate multiplicities of the produced muons. This is done using Monte Carlo simulations assuming some suitable combination of the protonic and iron components in the incoming CR. However, so far these simulations are not able to describe the frequency of the highest multiplicity events (containing more than 100 muons in a bundle). The situation is best summarized by noting that the observation of high multiplicity muon bundles in CR events at CosmoLEP is apparently the only result that does not agree with the Standard Model. The measured multiplicities of muons produced by high energy CR distributions appear to be very sensitive both to the composition of the CR flux entering our atmosphere and to the assumptions concerning the dominant hadronic production mechanisms in air shower development [3]. The rule of thumb is that assuming a purely ironic primary flux of incoming CR it is roughly possible to describe only the presence of the low and intermediate muon bundles. The high multiplicity muon groups seem to demand either some special interactions (rather unlikely) or CR with much heavier objects than iron. Note that whereas in [3] ALICE shows many events with very high measured muon multiplicities (up to 270), the fits presented only cover events with muon multiplicities up to about 70.

In this paper we shall concentrate mainly on the first, high multiplicity events. To describe them we propose seriously to consider, for a moment, the possibility of the existence in the flux of incoming CR a component with very high atomic number, of the order of $A \sim 10^3$. In fact we propose to return to our old idea that muon bundles of extremely high multiplicities could be produced by *strangelets*, hypothetical stable lumps of strange quark matter (SQM) infiltrating our Universe [9]. Strangelets with such masses, much larger than the masses of ordinary nuclei, could easily (without invoking any peculiar form of interactions) produce extremely large groups of muons in collisions with the atmosphere. In the next Section we list and discuss briefly the searches for strangelets performed so far and provide the corresponding limits of their appearance. In Section 3 we briefly recall our arguments as to why strangelets can penetrate quite deeply into the Earth's atmosphere, provide our results for the high multiplicity muon bundles they can produce and compare them with the recent ALICE data. By way of illustration we shall present there our old results obtained for data obtained in the old CosmoLep project at CERN. We demonstrate that already a relatively minute (of the order of 10^{-5} of the total primary flux) admixture of the SQM in the CR of the same total energy is enough for this purpose and that it is consistent with all recent observations. Section 4 is devoted to estimations of the possible direction from which strangelets producing our muon bundles could arrive. Section 5 contains our conclusions.

2 Searches for Strange Quark Matter in cosmic rays

We start with a short reminder of Strange Quark Matter and strangelets as seen from the present perspective. The idea of SQM originated some time ago in Refs. [10–12], but it remains alive, cf. [13–17][1]. In short, the basic, commonly accepted view is that that SQM (understood as a combination of roughly an equal number of up, down and strange quarks) might be the true ground state of quantum chromodynamics (QCD). It is therefore reasonable to expect that it exists in some form in the Universe and can be detected. This supposition resulted in a number of searches for strange stars and quark stars, in which such a form of matter would be dominant and which could therefore be a possible source of strangelets penetrating outer space [23–30].

Of special interest to us are strangelets, lumps of SQM with baryon number exceeding some critical value, $A > A_{crit} \sim 300 - 400$ [12]. In this region of A they are absolutely stable against neutron emission, below this limit they decay rapidly by evaporating neutrons. As shown in [31, 32] they can penetrate deep into the Earth's atmosphere, notwithstanding their very large initial masses and sizes. It is therefore fully sensible to search for them in the CR experiments [33–36]. Not going into details, we argued in the following way. The geometrical radii of strangelets are estimated to be comparable to the radii of ordinary nuclei [31], which means that their geometrical cross sections are similar to the normal nuclear ones. The first thing that comes to mind is that this is in contradiction with their strong penetrability which we need for our purpose. However, the fact that strangelets are not normal nuclei but rather a kind of quark bag, allows us to propose the following possible scenario. Namely, we can envisage that strangelets reaching deeply into the atmosphere are formed in many successive interactions with air nuclei by the initially very heavy lumps of SQM entering the atmosphere and decreasing in mass due to collisions with air nuclei (until their A reaches the critical value A_{crit} [31] and they decay rapidly). It turns out that such a scenario is fully consistent with all present experiments [31]. In this scenario the interaction of strangelet with a target nucleus

[1] In fact it also became a source of new inspirations, as witnessed, for example, by Refs. [18–22].



Figure 1. Comparison of the estimated mass spectrum N(A0) of strangelets with the known abundances of elements in the Universe [37]. Consecutive steps in the histogram denote the following nuclei (or groups of nuclei): Ne, (Mg, Si), S, (K, Ca), Fe, (Cu, Zn), (Kr, Sr, Zr), (Te, Xe, Ba), $(Rare\ earths)$, (Os, Ir, Pt, Pb). The flux of strangelets has been chosen to accommodate as much as possible all signals of strangelets [34, 35].

involves all the quarks of the target located in the geometrical intersection of the colliding air nucleus and the strangelet.

This scenario of large strangelets arriving from outer space and colliding with the Earth's atmosphere is the only one that leads to the observed pattern of production of high multiplicity muonic bundles. Nevertheless, it should be noted that there exists the reverse scenario in which a rather small impinging strangelet picks up mass from the atmospheric atoms when traversing the atmosphere [38, 39]. However, in this case it would be impossible to get bunches of muons of the observed multiplicity[2].

There are several reports suggesting the existence of direct candidates for SQM [46] (characterized mainly by their very small ratios of Z/A). All of them have mass numbers A near or slightly exceeding A_{crit}. Analysis of these candidates for SQM shows [31] that the abundance of strangelets in the primary cosmic ray flux is $F_S\left(A = A_{crit} = 320\right)/F_{tot} \sim 2.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$ at the same energy per particle. For the normal flux of primary cosmic rays [47] the expected flux of strangelets is then equal to $F_S = 7 \cdot 10^{-6}$ m^{-2}h^{-1}sr^{-1} for an energy above 10 GeV per initial strangelet[3]. These estimations of SQM flux do not contradict the results

[2]It should also be mentioned that there are also a number of other approaches, which we shall not discuss here, like, for example, [40–43]. A unified theoretical description of objects ranging from strangelets to strange stars has been recently proposed in [44].

[3]Note that there is a well known equality between the energy density of cosmic rays, ρ_{CR}, the magnetic



Figure 2. (Color online) Chart of the nuclides showing all known forms of stable matter.



Figure 3. (Color online) The expected flux (our results [34]) of strangelets compared with the upper experimental limits compiled by Price [48] and SLIM [51]. The expected flux from strangelet production in strange star collisions (Madsen spectrum [40]) and the predicted astrophysical limits [48] are shown.

fields, the motion of gas clouds and starlight. The estimated value of $\rho_{CR} \sim 0.8$ eV/cm^3, is comparable with the energy density of the cosmic microwave background, $\rho_{CMB} \sim 0.3$ eV/cm^3 [50]. On the other hand, the energy density of strangelets, $\rho_{SQM} \sim 2 \cdot 10^{-6}$ eV/cm^3, is apparently of the same order as that of the fluctuations in the CMB.

obtained recently by the SLIM Collaboration [51].

To summarize:

- The experimental data mentioned above lead to a flux of strangelets which follows the $A^{-7.5}$ behaviour, which in turn coincides with the behaviour of the abundance of normal nuclei in the Universe, see Fig. 1.
- Strange quark matter fills a vast gap in the distribution of all known forms of stable matter, cf. chart of nuclides presented in Ref. [49] and shown in Fig. 2. It places itself exactly between the heaviest atomic elements and neutron stars.
- The estimated flux of strangelets is also consistent with the astrophysical limits and with the upper limits given experimentally [48], see Fig. 3.

3 High multiplicity muon bundles from SQM

We start with a short reminder of our proposed possible scenario of propagation of strangelets through the atmosphere [31, 32]. The apparent contradiction between the large initial size of the incoming strangelets and their required strong penetrability in the atmosphere can be resolved by assuming that strangelets reaching deeply into the atmosphere are formed from the original large strangelet which loses mass in many successive interactions with air nuclei when penetrating the atmosphere. To provide numerical estimate we limit ourselves to the two most extreme pictures of the collision of a strangelet of mass number A with an air nucleus target of mass number A_t :

(i) All quarks of A_t which are located in the geometrical intersection of the two colliding projectiles are involved and one assumes that each quark from the target interacts with only one quark from the strangelet.

(ii) All quarks from both nuclei which are in their geometrical intersection region participate in the collision.

In the first case, during the interaction up to $(3A_t)$ quarks from the strangelet could be used up and its mass could drop to a value of $A - A_t$, at most. The total penetration depth of the strangelet can be estimated to be in this case equal to

$$\Lambda \simeq \frac{1}{3}\lambda_{NA_t}\left(\frac{A_0}{A_t}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\left(1-\frac{A_{crit}}{A_0}\right)^2\left(4-\frac{A_{crit}}{A_0}\right) \simeq \frac{4}{3}\lambda_{NA_t}\left(\frac{A_0}{A_t}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \tag{3.1}$$

(here λ_{NA_t} denotes the mean free path for $N - A_t$ collisions). One accommodates here both the most probable "normal" mean free paths for successive interactions and the final large penetration depth. This scenario is fully consistent with all present and proposed experiments and could be additionally checked only by measuring the products of the intermediate collisions, which so far is impossible.

The second case is an analogue of the so called tube model used occasionally in nuclear collisions (cf., for example, [52]). After the collision the atomic mass of the strangelet diminishes to a value equal to $A - A^{1/3} \cdot A_t^{2/3}$. While this is a rather extreme variant, it is still useful in providing an estimate of the maximum possible destruction of the quarks in the strangelet.

In this study we have used suitably modified SHOWERSIM [53] modular software. We performed Monte Carlo simulations for primary nuclei composed of 50% protons and 50%



Figure 4. (Color online) Integral multiplicity distribution of muons for the ALICE data [3] (stars). Monte Carlo simulations for primary nuclei composed of 50% protons and 50% iron nuclei (dotted line) and for primary strangelets with mass A taken from the $A^{-7.5}$ distribution (broken line). The full line shows the summed (calculated) distribution.

iron nuclei and for primary strangelets with mass A taken from the $A^{-7.5}$ distribution. The results of our simulations are shown In Fig. 4. Note that whereas the lower and medium multiplicities can be reproduced by the ordinary nuclei, the extremely large groups of muons can be described only by allowing (a relatively minute, of the order of 10^{-5} of the total primary flux) admixture of SQM of the same total energy. Fig. 5 shows, for comparison, similar results obtained for the CosmoLEP data [5].

4 Anisotropy of arrival directions of strangelets

The ALICE data also turns out to be very valuable for another reason. Namely, they show the angular distribution of the muon events in the spherical reference frame with zenith angle (θ) and azimuth angle (Φ) (see [3] and [54] for the detailed orientation of the system of coordinates used). The Y axis is directed to the Zenith, and the orientation of the X axis is the same as most installations at ALICE Point 2, especially the buildings located perpendicularly to the SXL2 and SX2 halls in the surface zone. We can therefore determine the angle between the X axis and the geographical direction to the north: $\alpha_0 = 56.12°$.

Taking into account the orientation of the axes, these coordinates can be transformed into a horizontal reference frame: $(\Phi, \theta) \rightarrow (Az, h)$, where Az is the Azimuth used in navigation/astronomy (in this particular case: $Az = \Phi + \alpha_0$), and h is the elevation above the horizon (where $h = 90° - \theta$). Finally, we can transform the coordinates from the horizontal



Figure 5. (Color online) Integral multiplicity distribution of muons for the CosmoLEP data (stars) [5] compared with contributions from "normal" composition (the so call Nikolski spectrum consisting at 10^{15} eV of protons (39%), alpha particles (20%), CNO (21%), Si (8%) and Fe (12%) - dotted line), with primary strangelets with $A = 400$ (broken line) and their sum (full line) [9].

Table 1. Celestial coordinates of five high-multiplicity muon events. Column description: Event: the label of the event, N_μ: the number of registered atmospheric muons, Φ, θ: azimuth and zenith angles (source: [3] and supplemental figures [4]), Date (JD): the Julian date of the event calculated from the timestamp of each event, Az: the Azimuth measured from the north, h: the elevation above the horizon, α_{2000}: right ascension referred to the J2000.0 epoch, δ_{2000}: declination referred to the J2000.0 epoch.

Event	N_μ	Φ [°]	θ [°]	Date (JD)	Az [°]	h [°]	α_{2000}	δ_{2000}
1	181	212.4	40.4	2455247.53666	268.5	49.6	7 h 54 m	32° 36′
2	136	170.2	16.6	2455256.64166	226.3	73.4	13 h 25 m	33° 49′
3	276	192.9	26.0	2455708.26792	249.0	64.0	9 h 08 m	32° 45′
4	225	235.7	23.5	2456044.54870	291.8	66.5	13 h 35 m	49° 57′
5	136	264.8	2.6	2456052.38119	320.9	87.4	12 h 14 m	48° 18′

to the equatorial reference frame: $(Az, h) \rightarrow (\alpha, \delta)$. Such a system of spherical coordinates is often used in astronomy and is expressed by right ascension (α) and declination (δ). This set of coordinates is convenient to specify the direction of our potential sources of events and is independent of the Earth's rotation. This means that we can deduce, for the first time in this kind of experiment, the directionality of the observed muon bundles, which could presumably tell us their possible source. For this purpose we use 5 bundles of the highest muon multiplicities.

Using the usual spherical triangle formulas or rotation matrices one can convert between



Figure 6. Five high-multiplicity muon events in the equatorial reference frame (α, δ). Most known extragalactic TeV Sources (blazars, SNRs, radio galaxies) in the sky [55], [56] are also shown (note that the Mrk 421 blazar is the source located very close to the centroid of the five considered events).

the horizontal and equatorial coordinates. To determine the orientation of the equatorial frame some additional parameters are required, like the geographical location of the detector ($\lambda = 6.0208^{\circ}E$, $\phi = 46.2516^{\circ}N$) and the universal time (UTC) or local sidereal time ($T^{\star}$) of each observation/event. In this respect it is important to note that each set of (α, δ) is related to the individual epoch of observation. Therefore, due to precession, there are some minor differences between these coordinates and the more often used $\alpha_{2000}, \delta_{2000}$ referred to the $J2000.0$ Epoch. Taking into account the assumed level of accuracy, these differences are small, but in the end we converted all values to J2000.0: $(\alpha_{epoch}, \delta_{epoch}) \rightarrow (\alpha_{2000}, \delta_{2000})$. The above information is summarized in Table 1.

To summarize: For the five high-multiplicity muon events we obtained the celestial equatorial coordinates $(\alpha_{2000}, \delta_{2000})$ and the estimated limits of their positional errors are $\sim 10^{\circ}$. They are shown as circles in Figs. 6, 7 and 8. From the dispersion of the distribution of each multi-muon event and the possible errors in the estimation of the Az, h and α_0 angles we assumed that the approximate limit of the positional error is $\sim 10^{\circ}$ for each event. These error limits are shown in Figs. 6, 7 and 8 as circles. The centroid (average location) of the five considered events is marked by a crossed circle in Figs. 6 and 8. The coordinates of this centroid are: $\alpha_{centr} = 11\ h\ 15\ m$, $\delta_{centr} = 39^{\circ}\ 29'$.

We can conclude that the distribution of the directions of these five events suggests their



Figure 7. Five high-multiplicity muon events in the equatorial reference frame (α, δ) – enlarged part of Fig. 6.

possible extragalactic provenance. Most of them are located in the vicinity of the galactic north pole, far from the galactic plane. If we temporarily assume that all these events have the same source, the most probable source would be the blazar Mrk 421 which is located close to the centroid of the five events. It is one of the brightest blazars known, with major outbursts, active at all wavebands [55]. However, there are also many other known extragalactic high energy sources located close to the coordinates of our events [55], [56] and, at the moment, they cannot be excluded as potential sources.

5 Summary and conclusions

There is an ongoing discussion concerning the possibility of the existence in the Universe of some stable forms of Strange Quark Matter (SQM) and the feasibility of its detection. Whereas until now we do not have fully convincing observations of SQM, nevertheless there are numerous (and still growing) indications of the possible existence of strange stars, or even of the existence of quark stars. Strangelets could originate, for example, from violent collisions between such objects. The recent results of ALICE reporting the existence of bundles of high-multiplicity muons could serve, notwithstanding the weakness of this signal, as a direct (almost) signal of stable lumps of SQM called strangelets arriving at the Earth from outer space. This is how we have perceived it, based on our previous experience with the search



Figure 8. Five high-multiplicity muon events. All events are located close to the galactic pole (far from the galactic plane). Background: Inverted (negative) image of the Fermi telescope mosaic. The minimum declination limit (due to the restricted zenith angle in the experiment) is marked by a horizontal line. The area in the southern sky not covered by the experiment is marked by a rectangle (filled).

for strangelets in muonic data from the previous CosmoLEP project, and this is the main rationale behind our work. As a result, we have found that whereas the low multiplicities of muon groups measured by the CERN ALICE experiment favour light nuclei as primaries and the medium multiplicities show behaviour specific for heavier primaries, the muon groups (bundles) of really high multiplicities (of the order of ~ 100) apparently cannot be described by the common interaction models. We have shown here that the situation can be rescued by allowing for a relatively small (of the order of 10^{-5} of the total primary flux) admixture of strangelets of the same total energy. Our estimation of their flux does not contradict the results obtained recently by the SLIM Collaboration [51]. Finally, we would like to stress here that the specific features of the ALICE data allowed us to estimate, for the first time, also the directionality of the five events of the highest muon multiplicities, and to identify their most probable extragallactic source(s).

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr Katherin Shtejer Diaz and Dr Bruno Alessandro for very useful comments and suggestions concerning estimates of celestial coordinates of high-multiplicity muon events and for providing us with data on their timestamps. We would also like to thank warmly Dr Nicholas Keeley for reading the manuscript.

References

[1] A. Dar and A. De R ujula, *A theory of cosmic rays*, *Phys. Rep.* **466** (2008) 179.

[2] A. Letessier-Selvon and T. Stanev, *Ultrahigh energy cosmic rays*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83** (2011) 907.

[3] J. Adam *et al.* [ALICE Collaboration], *Study of cosmic ray events with high muon multiplicity using the ALICE detector at the CERN Large Hadron Collider*, *J. Cosm. Astrop. Phys.* **01** (2016) 032 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2016/01/032; arXiv:1507.07577 [astro-ph.HE].

[4] J. Adam *et al.* [ALICE Collaboration], *Supplemental figures for Study of cosmic ray events with high muon multiplicity using the ALICE detector at the CERN Large Hadron Collider*, ALICE-PUBLIC-2016-003.

[5] V. Avati *et al.*, *Cosmic multi-muon events observed in the underground CERN-LEP tunnel with the ALEPH experiment*, *Astropart. Phys.* **19** (2003) 513, doi:10.1016/S0927-6505(02)00247-5

[6] J. Abdallah *et al.* [DELPHI Collaboration], *Study of multi-muon bundles in cosmic ray showers detected with the DELPHI detector at LEP*, *Astropart. Phys.* **28** (2007) 273; arXiv:0706.2561 [astro-ph], doi:10.1016/j.astropartphys.2007.06.001.

[7] P. Achard *et al.* [L3 Collaboration], *Measurement of the atmospheric muon spectrum from 20-GeV to 3000-GeV*, *Phys. Lett. B* **598** (2004) 15; [hep-ex/0408114], doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2004.08.003

[8] V. N. Bakatanov, Yu. F. Novoseltsev and R. V. Novoseltseva, *Detection of muon groups with multiplicity $n_\mu > 1500$ at the Baksan underground scintillation telescope*, *Astrop. Phys.* **8** (1997) 59.

[9] M. Rybczyński, Z. Włodarczyk, G. Wilk, *Muons from strangelets*, *Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.)* **97**, (2001) 85.

[10] E. Witten, *Cosmic separation of phases*, *Phys. Rev. D* **30** (1984) 272.

[11] E. Farhi and R. L. Jaffe, *Strange matter*, *Phys. Rev. D* **30** (1984) 2379.

[12] C. Alcock and E. Farhi, *Evaporation of Strange Matter in the Early Universe*, *Phys. Rev. D* **32** (1985) 1273, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.32.1273.

[13] J. Madsen, *Physics and Astrophysics of Strange Quark Matter*, in: J. Cleymans, H. Geyer and F. Scholtz (eds) *Lecture notes in physics* **516**, Springer, Berlin, p. 162.

[14] M. G. Alford, *Quark matter in neutron stars*, *Nucl. Phys. A* **830** (2009) 385c.

[15] F. Weber, *Review: Strange quark matter and compact stars*, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.*, **54** (2005) 193.

[16] R. Xu, *Astrophysical Quark Matter*, *Chin. J. Astron. Astrophys. Suppl.* **5** (2005) 353.

[17] R. Klingenberg, *Strange quark matter - a status quo*, *J. Phys. G* **27** (2001) 475.

[18] S. Aygün, H. Caglar, D. Taser and C. Aktas, *Quark and strange quark matter solutions for higher dimensional FRW universe in Lyra geometry*, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **130** (2015) 12.

[19] H. Chen, J.-B. Wei and H.-J. Schulze, *Strange quark matter and quark stars with the Dyson-Schwinger quark model*, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **52** (2016) 291.

[20] V.U. M. Rao and D. Neelima *Axially Symmetric Space-Time with Strange Quark Matter Attached to String Cloud in Self Creation Theory and General Relativity*, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **52** (2013) 354, DOI 10.1007/s10773-012-1338-9

[21] A. Atreya, A. Sarkar and A. M. Srivastava, *Reviving quark nuggets as a candidate for dark matter*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 045010.

[22] C.-J. Xia, S.-W. Chen and G.-X. Peng, *Properties of strangelets in a new quark mass confinement model with one-gluon-exchange interaction*, *Sci. Chin. Phys. Mech. Astr.* **57** (2014) 1304, doi: 10.1007/s11433-014-5452-y.

[23] C. Alcock, E. Fahri and A. Olinto, *Strange stars*, *Astrop. J.* **310** (1986) 261.

[24] K. S. Cheng, Z. G. Dai and T. Lu, *Strange stars and related astrophysical phenomena*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **7** (1998) 139.

[25] K. S. Cheng, Z. G. Dai, D. M. Wei and T. Lu, *Is GRO J 17 44-28 a Strange Star?*, *Science* **280** (1998) 407.

[26] J. J Drake *at al.*, *Is RX J1856.5-3754 a quark star?*, *Astroph. J.* **572** (2002) 996.

[27] A. Bauswein, H.-T. Janka, R. Oechslin, G. Pagliara, I. Sagert, J. Schaffner-Bielich, M. M. Hohle and R. Neuhaüser, *Mass Ejection by Strange Star Mergers and Observational Implications*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103** (2009) 011101.

[28] E.Finch, *Strangelets: who is looking and how?*, *J. Phys. G* **32** (2006) S251.

[29] W. Kluźniak and W. H. Lee, *The swallowing of a quark star by a black hole*, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **335** (2002) L29.

[30] Z. Kovács, K. S. Cheng and T. Harko, *Thin accretion discs around neutron and quark stars*, *Astr. Astroph.* **500** (2009) 621, DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/200811412.

[31] G. Wilk and Z. Wlodarczyk, *Propagation of strange quark matter in the atmosphere*, *Acta Phys. Hung.* **4** (1996) 395 [hep-ph/9606401].

[32] G. Wilk and Z. Wlodarczyk, *How can strange quark matter occur deep in the atmosphere?*, *J. Phys. G* **23** (1997) 2057.

[33] G. Wilk and Z. Włodarczyk, *Centauro as probe of deeply penetrating component in cosmic rays*, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **52B** (1997) 215.

[34] M. Rybczyński, Z. Włodarczyk and G. Wilk, *Can cosmic rays provide sign of strangelets?*, Acta Phys. Polon. B **33** (2002) 277.

[35] M. Rybczyński, Z. Wlodarczyk and G. Wilk, *Are there strangelets in cosmic rays?*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **20** (2005) 6724; [hep-ph/0410065], doi:10.1142/S0217751X05029939.

[36] M. Rybczyński, Z. Włodarczyk and G. Wilk, *Strangelets in cosmic rays*, *Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.)* **151** (2006) 341.

[37] G. B. Zhdanov, *Search for transuranium elements (methods, results, and prospects)*, *Usp. Fiz. Nauk* **111** (1973) 109 [*Sov. Phys. Uspekhi* **16** (1974) 642].

[38] S. Banerjee, S. K. Ghosh, S. Raha and D. Syam, *Strangelets in terrestrial atmosphere*, *J. Phys. G* **25** (1999) L15.

[39] S. Banerjee, S. K. Ghosh, S. Raha and D. Syam, *Can Cosmic Strangelets Reach the Earth?*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85** (2000) 1384.

[40] J. Madsen, *Strangelet propagation and cosmic ray flux*, *Phys. Rev. D* **71** (2005) 014026.

[41] Fei Wu, Ren-Xin Xu and Bo-Qiang Ma, *Propagation of strangelets in the Earth's atmosphere*, *J. Phys. G* **34** (2007) 597.

[42] B. Monreal, *Cosmic-ray strangelets in the Earth's atmosphere*, *JHEP* **02** (2007) 077.

[43] L. Paulucci and J. E. Horvath, *Interaction of strangelets with ordinary nuclei*, *J. Phys. G* **36** (2009) 095202.

[44] C-J. Xia, G-X. Peng, E-G. Zhao and S-G. Zhou, *From strangelets to strange stars: a unified description*, *Sci. Bull.* **61** (2016) 61, DOI 10.1007/s11434-015-0982-x.

[45] X. J. Wen, Z. Q. Feng, N. Li and G. X. Peng, *Strange quark matter and strangelets in the quasiparticle model*, *J. Phys. G* **36** (2009) 025011.

[46] T. Saito, Y. Hatano, Y. Fukada and H. Oda, *Strange Quark Matter in Galactic Cosmic Rays*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 2094 (1990).

[47] T. Shibata, *Cosmic ray spectrum and composition: Direct observation*, *Nuovo Cim. C* **19** (1996) 713, doi:10.1007/BF02506664.

[48] P. B. Price, *Limits on Contribution of Cosmic Nuclearites to Galactic Dark Matter*, *Phys. Rev. D* **38** (1988) 3813.

[49] H. J. Crawford and C. H. Greiner, *The Search for strange matter*, *Sci. Am.* **270** (1994) 58.

[50] T. Wibig and A.W. Wolfendale, *Cosmic Rays and Cosmology*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **20** (2005) 6612, DOI: dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X05029642

[51] Z. Sahnoun *et al.*, *Search for strange quark matter and Q-balls with the SLIM experiment*, *Radiat. Meas.* **44** (2009) 894; arXiv:0812.3248 [hep-ex]. doi:10.1016/j.radmeas.2009.10.072.

[52] F. Takagi, *Collective Quarks Tubes i n Hadron-Nucleus Collisions at High Energies. Prog. Theor. Phys.* **65** (1981) 948.

[53] A. Wrotniak, SHOWERSIM/84, University of Maryland Preprint, 85 (1984).

[54] LHC Design Report. 2. *The LHC infrastructure and general services*, O. Buning (ed.) , P. Collier (ed.) , P. Lebrun (ed.) , S. Myers (ed.) , R. Ostojic (ed.) , J. Poole (ed.) , P. Proudlock (ed.), Nov 2004 - 230 pages; CERN-2004-003-V-2, CERN-2004-003; http://cds.cern.ch/record/815187.

[55] D. Horan and T. C. Weekes, *Extragalactic sources of TeV gamma rays: a summary*, *New Astr. Rev.* **48** (2004) 527; [astro-ph/0310391], doi = 10.1016/j.newar.2003.12.057.

[56] C. F. Turley *et al.*, *Search for Blazar Flux-Correlated TeV Neutrinos in IceCube 40-String Data*, arXiv:1608.08983 [astro-ph.HE].